Bart Good 4564 Arnold lane Elverta. California 95626

boc@csus.edu

To:

Kathleen Abernathy

Date:

Sat, Apr 5.2003 12:27 AM

Subject:

Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy

Dear FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

Bart Good 4564 Arnold Iane Elverta, California 95626 From: boc@csus.edu
To: Michael Copps

Date: Sat, Apr 5.2003 12:27 AM

Subject: Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps

Dear FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

Bart *Good* **4564** Arnold lane Elverta, California 95626

3bcooper@bellsouth.net

To:

Kathleen Abernathy

Date:

Sat, Apr 5, 2003 12 39 AM

Subject:

Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy

Dear FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

Bob Cooper 5632 Knob Road Nashville, Tennessee 37209

3bcooper@bellsouth.net

To:

Michael Copps

Date:

Sat, Apr 5, 2003 12:39 AM

Subject:

Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Com issic er Michael C. Copps

Dear FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

**R**—

Sincerely,

Bob Cooper 5632 Knob Road Nashville, Tennessee 37209 From: 3bcooper@bellsouth.net

To: Mike Powell

Date: Sat, Apr 5, 2003 12:39 AM

Subject: Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Dear FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

Bob Cooper 5632KnobRoad Nashville, Tennessee 37209 From: GerideGruy@aol.com

To: Mike Powell

Date: Sat, Apr 5,2003 12:40 AM

Subject: media deregulation

## dear mr. powell.

i strongly oppose media deregulation and the lifting of any of the current rules which regulate ownership of the media. the media is "owned by the people. we need, as a democracy, to be able to receive information and ideas and viewpoints from diverse sources. the more the better. right now, the trend is toward fewer companies owning more and more of the media options. this limits our ability to get a wide range of information. it is not democratic. it in fact undermines democracy. it's like only being able to look at an elephant from one view so one thinks that an elephant is merely a trunk, or a butt.

i urge you to keep regulations about media ownershiip and to encourage, in whatever way possible, the democracy of the airwaves. the diversity that makes the **u.s.** rich. thank you. geri deGruy

GerideGruy@aol.com

To: Date: Kathleen Abernathy Sat, Apr 5,2003 12:41 AM

**Subject:** 

media deregulation

dear mr. powell,

i strongly oppose media deregulation and the lifting of any of the current rules which regulate ownership of the media. the media is "owned by the people. we need, as a democracy, to be able to receive information and ideas and viewpoints from diverse sources. the more the better. right now, the trend is toward fewer companies owning more and more of the media options. this limits our ability to get a wide range of information. it is not democratic. it in fact undermines democracy. it's like only being able to look at an elephant from one view so one thinks that an elephant is merely a trunk, or a butt.

i urge you to keep regulations about media ownershiip and to encourage, in whatever way possible, the democracy of the airwaves, the diversity that makes the u.s. rich. thank you. geri deGruy

GerideGruy@aol.com

To: Date: Commissioner Adelstein Sat, Apr 5, 2003 12:42 AM

Subject:

media deregulation

## dear mr. powell,

i strongly oppose media deregulation and the lifting of any of the current rules which regulate ownership of the media. the media is "owned by the people. we need, as a democracy, to be able to receive information and ideas and viewpoints from diverse sources. the more the better. right now, the trend is toward fewer companies owning more and more of the media options. this limits our ability to get a wide range of information. it is not democratic. it in fact undermines democracy. it's like only being able to look at an elephant from one view so one thinks that an elephant is merely a trunk, or a butt.

i urge you to keep regulations about media ownershiip and to encourage, in whatever way possible, the democracy of the airwaves, the diversity that makes the u.s. rich. thank you. geri deGruy

Lucas Hill

To:

Mike Powell

Date:

Sat, Apr 5,2003 1:00 AM

Subject:

Media Ownership

To the Commisioners of the FCC:

I am writing to you to express my concern over the recent issue of corporate ownership of media outlets. Before I express more, though, I must express my thanks for the Commision's efforts to seek the public opinion on this issue.

What concerns me most about this is the power any corporation may weild through ownenhip of a larger share of the media market than any entity now has. As things are now, I feel wary of the quality and quantity of the news I receive from the various media outlets. This wariness comes, as you might imagine, from the bias that strength must create towards corporate self-protection, which likely leads to the omission of certain news stories that are deemed hazardous to the reputation of various media outlets by those same outlets. For this very reason, I favor NPR and other similar news media for the local and world news I receive.

I urge the members of the cornission to give this matter its due importance, as I am sure you have to this point. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Lucas Hill

Add photos to your e-mail with MSN 8. Get 2 months FREE\*

**CC:** Kathleen Abernathy. Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein

Lucas Hill

To:

Mike Powell Sat, Apr 5, 2003 1:00 AM

Date: Subject:

Media Ownership

To the Commisioners of the FCC:

I am writing to you to express my concern over the recent issue of corporate ownership of media outlets. Before I express more, though, I must express my thanks for the Commision's efforts to seek the public opinion on this issue.

What concerns me most about this is the power any corporation may weild through ownership of a larger share of the media market than any entity now has. As things are now, I feel wary of the quality and quantity of the news I receive from the various media outlets. This wariness comes, as you might imagine, from the bias that strength must create towards corporate self-protection, which likely leads to the omission of certain news stories that are deemed hazardous to the reputation of various media outlets by those same outlets. For this very reason, I favor NPR and other similar news media for the local and world news I receive.

I urge the members of the comission to give this matter its due importance, as I am sure you have to this point. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely

Lucas Hill

Add photos to your e-mail with MSN 8. Get 2 months FREE\*.

**CC:** Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps. KM KJMWEB. Commissioner Adelstein

Lucas Hill

To:

Mike Powell

Date:

Sat, Apr 5,2003 1:00 AM

Subject:

Media Ownership

## To the Commisioners of the FCC:

I am writing to you to express my concern over the recent issue of corporate ownership of media outlets. Before I express more, though, I must express my thanks for the Commision's efforts to seek the public opinion on this issue.

What concerns me most about this is the power any corporation may weild through ownership of a larger share of the media market than any entity now has. As things are now, I feel wary of the quality and quantity of the news I receive from the various media outlets. This wariness comes, as you might imagine, from the bias that strength must create towards corporate self-protection, which likely leads to the omission of certain news stories that are deemed hazardous to the reputation of various media outlets by those same outlets. For this very reason, I favor NPR and other similar news media for the local and world news I receive.

I urge the members of the comission to give this matter its due importance, as I am sure you have to this point. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Lucas Hill

Add photos to your e-mail with MSN 8. Get 2 months FREE\*.

CC: Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps. KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein

jumpinjoeydee@yahoo.com

To:

Kathleen Abernathy

Date:

Sat, Apr 5,2003 1:08 AM

Subject:

Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy

Dear FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, N station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

joseph Dangelo 6 kenilworth dr east northport, New York 11731 From: ju

jumpinjoeydee@yahoo.com

To:

Michael Copps

Date:

Sat, Apr 5,2003 1:08 AM

Subject:

Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemakingan Open Process

FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps

Dear FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission **see** the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

joseph Dangelo 6 kenilworth dr east northport, New York 11731

jumpinjoeydee@yahoo.com

To:

Mike Powell

Date:

Sat, Apr 5.2003 1:08 AM

Subject:

Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Dear FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

joseph Dangelo 6 kenilworth dr east northport, New York 11731

Tom M

To:

Mike Powell, Commissioner Adelstein. KM KJMWEB, Michael Copps. Kathleen

Abemathy

Date:

Sat, Apr 5, 2003 1:44 AM

Subject:

Conglomerates

Dear Commissioner Powell and members:

I was very disturbed by a report by Bill Moyers on PBS regarding the Conglomerate ownership of Mass Media outlets.

Knowing that the "independent" voice is being squashed by Six "big money owners" who are now basically control the output of News and information in the USA. Of course, most of the viewers. readers and listeners have NO IDEA of the scope of this problem as the Conglomerates feel it is N:T IN THEIR BEST INTEREST to inform us.

Further, I am concerned about the LACK of personnel available at stations lest he same tragedy occur here trhat did in Minot, ND thanks to Clear Cahannel's "unmanned station.

I'm not that old, but I DO remember that we used to Anti-Trust Laws in this country. I seem to remember a time when the owner of Newspapers could not also control the airwaves. I remember a time when SERVICE TO THE COMMUNITY was the AIM of most Media Stations, with required News Breaks on AM, etc. I guess the legislation of 1996 threw out the "baby with the bath water.". All that seems to matter now is BOTTOM LINE.

To hear the Executive state they need MORE STATIONS to maintain their "current standard is an utter laugh. They re producing "reality shows" at a FRACTION of what I consider "True" entertainment. So then need MORE STATIONS to distribute LOW COST PROGRAMMING, and produce GREATER Earnings?! don't see how that fulfills the need or mission statement of Sewing a community. Perhaps you can explain this to me. And the same executive stated that they needed more Stations to maintain the same level of Sports Coverage. Perhaps this argument works for the feeble minded. As we learned in Business 101, it seems that ADVERTISING is what pays to keep "Free Television- Free." It doesn't take a Rocket Scientist to figure out the astronomical amounts paid of a 15-30 second as during a major event like Superbowl. etc. are PROFITABLE to the Owners. Face it, if it wasn't profitable, they Would Not Do IT; Would Not Carry Specific Programming, Would not vie to carry specific events. They sure aren't doing it pour the goodness of their hearts. In the Rush to make all this money, the losers are the AMERICAN PEOPLE who are given less variety in viewpoints.

As for the "trips" and other perks, I don't care about those AS LONG AS THE MEMBERS OF THE COMMISSION REMEMBER WHO PAYS THEIR SALARIES, I refer to the TAXPAYERS OF THE UNITED STATES.

I urge you and the other members of the Commission to CHANGE the status quo and stop conglomerates like Disney, Time-Warner and etc. from maintaining more than 50% control of ANY Market area.

It's time to bring back the independence and Freedom of Speech we deserve. We are ENTITLED to different viewpoints. We don't need a "Democratic" Government with Media run by a few "Dictators." The NETWORKS and/or Station Owners must be MADE to support, without strings or subversion, PUBLIC TELEVISION and National Public Radio. If for No Other Reason, to provide viewers and listeners a CHOICE of viewpoint, not controlled by the Conglomerates.

Thank You for your time

Respectfully,

Thomas P. Malatino. Granbury, TX 2506 Neil Ct. Granbury, TX 76048-6529

"Meddle not in the affairs of Wizards, for they are Subtle and quick to Anger!"

--J. R. R. Tolkien
"Did you ever get the feeling that the story's too damn real, and in the Present Tense?"

-~ Jethro Tull

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://hww.grisoR.com).
Version: 6.0.467 / Virus Database: 266 - Release Date: 4/1/03

CC: EDITOR